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The Changing Environment and Customer Expectations

- Many customers expect a personal relationship with service providers, e.g. Amazon
- Information technology advances raise expectations and provide new opportunities, e.g. mobile internet
- Rising incomes result in fewer captive riders
- Need to attract choice riders
- Challenges for public transport
  - Gap between customer expectations and current reality
  - Uber-type competition
  - AV in mid-term future
Automated Data Systems

• **Automatic Fare Collection Systems (AFC)**
  • increasingly based on contactless smart cards with unique ID
  • provides entry (exit) information (spatially and temporally) for individual passengers
  • traditionally not available in real-time

• **Automatic Vehicle Location Systems (AVL)**
  • bus location based on GPS
  • train tracking based on track circuit occupancy
  • available in real time

• **Automatic Passenger Counting Systems (APC)**
  • bus systems based on sensors in doors with channelized passenger movements
  • passenger boarding (alighting) counts for stops/stations with fare barriers
  • train load-weigh systems can be used to estimate number of passengers on board
  • traditionally not available in real-time
Public Transport Operators/Agencies are at a Critical Transition in Data Collection Technology

**Manual**
- low capital cost
- high marginal cost
- small sample sizes
- "hard and soft"
- unreliable
- limited spatially and temporally
- not available immediately

**Automatic**
- high(er) capital cost
- low marginal cost
- large sample sizes
- "hard"
- errors and biases can be estimated and corrected
- ubiquitous
- available in real-time or quasi real-time
ADS - Potential

- Integrated ADS database
- Models and software to support many agency decisions using database
- Monitoring and insight into normal operations, special events, unusual weather, etc.
- Large, long time-series disaggregate panel data to better understand customer experience and travel behavior
ADS - Reality

• Most ADS systems are implemented independently

• Data collection is ancillary to primary system function
  • AVL - emergency notification, stop announcements
  • AFC - fare collection and revenue protection

• Many problems to overcome:
  • not easy to integrate data
  • requires new resources and expertise
Opportunities

• ADS
  • monitoring system status
  • measuring reliability
  • understanding customer behavior

• Data + Computing
  • simulation-based predictive performance models

• Communications
  • real time information (demand)
  • operations management (supply)

• Systematic approaches for planning, operations, real-time control
Key Agency/Operator Functions

A. Off-Line Functions

• Service and Operations Planning
  • Network and route design
  • Frequency setting and timetable development
  • Vehicle and crew scheduling

• Performance Measurement
  • Measures of operator performance against plans/contract specs
  • Measures of customer experience
Key Agency/Operator Functions

B. Real-Time Functions

• Service and Operations Control and Management
  • Dealing with deviations from plans, both minor and major
  • Dealing with unexpected changes in demand

• Customer Information
  • Information on routes, trip times, vehicle arrival times, etc.
  • Increasingly dynamic
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Analysis Building Blocks

- OD Matrix Estimation
- Inferring train loads
- Measuring Service Reliability
OD Matrix Estimation

Objective:

• Estimate passenger journey OD matrix at the network level using AFC and AVL data
  • Multimodal public transport passenger flows

• AFC characteristics
  • Open (entry fare control only)
  • Closed (entry+exit fare control)
  • Hybrid

Source:
Trip Chaining: Basic Idea

Each AFC record includes:

- AFC card ID
- transaction type
- transaction time
- transaction location: rail station or bus route and stop (either directly or based on time-matching with AVL data)

The destination of many trip segments (TS) is close to the origin of the following trip segment.
Destination Inference

Route #1
Route #2
Route #5
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Interchange Inference
Key Assumptions for Destination Inference to be correct:

- No intermediate private transportation mode trip segment
- Passengers will not walk a long distance
- Last trip of a day ends at the origin of the first trip of the day
Journey 1
1. Enter East Croydon NR station, 7:46
2 & 3. Out-of-station interchange to Central Line at Shepherds Bush, 8:30
4. Exit LU at White City, 8:35
5. Board 72 bus at Westway, 8:36
6. Alight 72 bus at Hammersmith Hospital, 8:42

Journey 2
7. Board bus 7 at Hammersmith Hospital, 16:17
8. Alight bus 7 at Latymer Upper School, 16:19
9. Board bus 220 at Cavell House, 16:21
10. Alight bus 220 at White City Station, 16:24
11. Enter LU at Wood Lane, 16:25
12 & 13. Out-of-station interchange from Circle or Hammersmith & City to District or Piccadilly, 16:40
14. Exit LU at Parsons Green, 16:56
Trip-Chaining Method Steps

- Infer start and end of each trip segment for individual AFC cards
- Link trip segments into complete (one-way) journeys
- Integrate individual journeys to form seed OD matrix (by time period)
- Expand to full OD matrix using available control totals
  - station entries and/or exits for rail
  - passenger entries and/or exits by stop, trip, or period for bus
Summary Information on London Application

• Oyster fare transactions/day:
  • Rail (Underground, Overground, National Rail): 6 million (entry & exit)
  • Bus: 6 million (entry only)

• For bus:
  • Origin inference rate: 96%
  • Destination inference rate: 77%

• For full public transport network:
  • 76% of all fare transactions are included in the seed matrix

• Computationally feasible (30 mins on Intel PC for full London public transport OD Matrix for entire day, including both seed matrix and scaling)
Inferring Train Loads

- Develop a methodology to “assign” passengers to trains through the use of AFC, ATR data
- The methods support:
  - Assessment of service utilization
  - Service quality metrics from the customers’ point of view
    - Crowding on trains and in stations
    - Number of passengers denied boarding
    - More detailed journey time metrics

Source: "Passenger-to-Train Assignment Model Based on Automated Data."
Yiwen Zhu, Master of Science in Transportation thesis (MIT, 2014)
Feasible Train Itineraries

- Given: AFC & ATR data
- A train itinerary is feasible if:
  - It departs after the passenger taps in, and
  - Arrives before the passenger taps out
Feasible Train Itineraries Example
Passenger Assignment Model (PAM)

- Each station is examined in sequence starting from the terminal.
- At each station, the trainload is calculated from the corresponding probabilities of passengers whose feasible itinerary set includes this train.
Recent Extensions

• Relaxing assumptions:
  • Denied boardings due to capacity constraints
  • Interchange demand

Future Work

• Advanced customer information, such as expected crowding at stations and in trains
• Real time model and application
Measuring Service Reliability

Objective:

• Define a customer-centric measure to capture effects of reliability

Expected benefits:

• Improve customer communication
• Capture the effects of strategies to improve service reliability
Reliability Buffer Time (RBT)

$$RBT_{OD} = JT(N^{th}) - JT(50^{th})$$

“How much additional time should I budget, beyond my typical travel time, to ensure an on time arrival N% of the time?”
Calculating the RBT

- Calculated for **each hour** of the day over some **period of time**
- RBT measures the **total** variation from all portions of a journey:

  Access Time  +  Wait Time  +  In Vehicle Time  +  Egress Time
Variants of the RBT

Journey Component Variants
- Full Journey Time
- Platform to Platform Time
- In-vehicle Time

Passenger Variants
- All Customers
- Groups of Passengers
- Individual Passengers
Individual vs Group RBTs Example:
Single OD Pair, 2 Months Data
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Summary

• Complete Journey OD Estimation practical with ADS
  • foundation for many analyses related to customer experience
• Realistic to assess service reliability for individuals and journeys
  • most critical aspect of customer experience
• Targeted on-line surveys an efficient alternative to other survey methods
• Customer classification is critical in understanding the customer experience
• Developing predictive models is a critical research need
Future Prospects

• Panel data combined with full journey OD estimation and journey time provides the basis for extensive customer experience and behavior analysis including:
  • understanding impacts of changes in service and price
  • understanding customer attraction, retention, and attrition
  • informing "information push" customer information strategies
  • documenting the impacts of marketing and promotional strategies

• Strategies in light of Uber-type service and AV technology